

Alternative Dispute Resolution in India: A Brief Overview

Dispute resolution is the process of deciding a dispute or a conflict that has arisen between transacting parties. The decision can be arrived at either in an amicable manner or adversarial manner, either by the parties themselves or a neutral third party. The differences between the parties are addressed by dealing with their transaction-related interests.

Broadly, there are three methods of dispute resolution:

- i. Traditional Dispute Resolution
- ii. Alternate Dispute Resolution
- iii. Hybrid Methods of Dispute Resolution

While the traditional dispute resolution method or litigation refers to the proceedings before an appropriate court of law according to the procedure established, the alternative methods are more flexible and party-centric and include negotiation, mediation, conciliation and arbitration. Hybridmethods, as the name implies are a cross-over between two alternative methods of dispute resolution.

The need to evolve alternative mechanisms to reduce the burden of the Courts and provide speedy access to justice alongwith the revival and strengthening of traditional systems of dispute resolution prompted the introduction of **'Section 89'** in the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 and ultimately, the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. The former opened the passage of statutory reference to ADR, either by the Courts or the parties themselves.

Under the provisions of Section 89, CPC, reference for the resolution of disputes could be had to any one of the following:

- Arbitration or Conciliation- Proceedings under the provisions of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.
- ii. Lok Adalat- Reference to Lok Adalat under Section 20



Madhu Sweta Partner E: madhu@singhania.in



Aarushi Malik
Associate
E: aarushi.malik@singhania.in



- (1) of the Legal Services Authorities Act 1987, all provisions of which shall then apply.
- iii. Judicial Settlement- Reference by Court to a suitable institution or person who/ which shall be deemed to be a Lok Adalat and all other provisions of the 1987 Act shall apply
- iv. Mediation-Court/third person effects a compromise between the parties.

In order to provide a better understanding of ADR, the two most popular forms, Arbitration and mediation are being elaborated herein:

i. Arbitration:

It is an adjudicatory process in the nature of adversarial proceedings wherein parties submit their disputes to a neutral third party (arbitrator) for a decision. The proceedings, similar to litigation are however, faster, cheaper, confidential and more flexible in procedure and application of rules of evidence. The parties have the independence to chalk out the same in the agreement to arbitration. The said agreement which must necessarily precede arbitration, should be a valid one as per the Indian Contract Act, 1872. The parties to an arbitration agreement must have the capacity to enter into a contract in terms of Sections 11 and 12 of the said Act.

Arbitral decisions are final and binding on the parties with very limited scope of objecting to them.

ii. Mediation:

It is a voluntary, disputant-centered, non-binding method of dispute resolution wherein a neutral and credible third party facilitates a settlement between the parties. It is a confidential and structured process where the mediator uses special communication, negotiation and social skills to assist the disputants in arriving at a mutually acceptable solution themselves. The parties thereto must be willing to iron out the creases in their relation by a little outside help as the focus in mediation is on the future. It is ideal where the emphasis of the parties is on building relationships, rather than ascertaining the party at fault for what has already transpired. The outcome of a successful mediation is a settlement agreement, and not a decision. The objective of mediation is not to evaluate guilt or innocence but to promote understanding, focus the parties on their interests, and encourage them to reach their own agreement.

For the ease of evaluation the following comparative table is provided:



CATEGORY	JUDICIAL PROCESS	ARBITRATION	MEDIATION
Speed	Slower/ long-drawn process.	Relatively faster, Time-bound process.	Speedy resolution.
Costs	Increased litigation costs for longer durations in addition to Court fees.	Reduced costs as time bound.	Relatively inexpensive
Control over Costs	Limited as Court fees determined by Rules.	Fees of arbitrators can be pre- determined by parties.	Court-fee returnable, parties can decide fees of mediator.
Appointment of decision- making authority.	No control of parties-only judicial officers.	Experts from specific field can be appointed by the parties, with predetermination of fees	Parties can appoint mediator of choice.
Procedure for conduct of proceedings	Settled as per law.	Parties have authority to decide.	As per parties' convenience, not settled.
Evidence and Submissions	As per the law of evidence and CPC.	Parties can determine their own procedure.	Not bound by rules of evidence- flexible.
Forum Selection	Beyond control of parties.	Parties can exclude/ limit or confer jurisdiction on forum of choice.	Mediator is appointed on selection by the parties.
Privacy	Public proceedings.	Proceedings held in private.	Proceedings in private.
Appeal	Decision is appealable, as a matter of right.	Very limited grounds for appeal.	Settlement acceptable to both the parties is final and is not appealable.

© 2019 All rights reserved. This article is for information purposes only. No part of the article may be reproduced or copied in any form or by any means [graphic, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping or information retrieval systems] or reproduced on any disc, tape, perforated media or other information storage device, etc., without the explicit written permission of Singhania & Partners LLP, Solicitors & Advocates ("The Firm").

Disclaimer: Though every effort has been made to avoid errors or omissions in this article, errors might creep in. Any mistake, error or discrepancy noted by the readers may be brought to the notice of the firm along with evidence of it being incorrect. All such errors shall be corrected at the earliest. It is notified that neither the firm nor any person related with the firm in any manner shall be responsible for any damage or loss of action to anyone, of any kind, in any manner, therefrom